

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,444	05/15/2006	Jian Chen	016998-004200US	6307	
20350 TOWNSEND	7590 01/24/2008 AND TOWNSEND AND (	TREW LIP	EXAM	EXAMINER	
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	SKLW, DDI	THOMPSON, J	THOMPSON, JEWEL VERGIE	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTRANCE			2855		
•		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	*	J			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/563,444	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jewel V. Thompson	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		<b>\</b>			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 D 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 January 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		VEL KShonpool WEL THOMPSON MARY EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/06.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

Acknowledgement is made of the Information Disclosure Statement filed January
 2006, which has been made record of and placed in the file.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because Figures 1 and 2 are referenced to the same reference number, 100. Each figure should reference "100" separately. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.



Application/Control Number:

10/563,444 Art Unit: 2855

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "vehicle type" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number:

10/563,444

Art Unit: 2855

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonald et al (2003/0005782) in view of Volger (2006/0048580).

Regarding claim 1, McDonald et al teaches a pressure sensor (page 2, par. 2, lines 2-5) comprising an integrated circuit (page 2, par. 30, lines 5-8) encapsulated within a package (110), the integrated circuit including a pressure sensing element, an opening (125) being provided in the package allowing the pressure sensor to be exposed to the atmosphere (fig. 1). McDonald fails to teach a filter extends across the opening. However, Vogler teaches a semiconductor membrane (25) which is placed across the chip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to have used the membrane of Vogler and placed across the integrated circuit of McDonald et al for the purpose of keeping debris and contamination out of the circuitry area.

Regarding claim 2, McDonald et al teaches the integrated circuit incorporates other means (101) for connecting or transmitting the output of the pressure sensing element to external circuitry.

**Regarding claim 3**, Volger teaches the filter (25) is fixed to the surface of the package such that it extends over and covers the opening.

10/563,444 Art Unit: 2855

Regarding claim 4, McDonald et al teaches the filter is a membrane (25) or film.

**Regarding claim 5**, McDonald et al teaches the filter is an organic film or membrane (25).

**Regarding claim 6**, McDonald et al teaches the sensor is adapted to be fitted to or embedded in a vehicle tyre (page 2, Para. [0023], lines 2-5).

**Regarding claim 7**, McDonald et al teaches the integrated circuit is mounted on a lead frame (11).

Regarding claim 8, McDonald et al teaches the integrated circuit and the lead frame are completely encapsulated within the package (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 9, McDonald et al teaches the package is a conventional semiconductor package incorporating an opening (125).

**Regarding claim 10**, McDonald et al teaches a pressure sensor the package is plastic [0039].

Regarding claim 11, McDonald et al teaches (Previously the opening is wholly filled with gel [0002].

Regarding claim 12, McDonald et al teaches the opening is partially filled with a gel [0002].

Regarding claim 13, McDonald et al teaches the gel is a relatively soft gel of relatively low density [0002].

Application/Control Number:

10/563,444 Art Unit: 2855

### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - 4,850,227 Luettgen et al teaches a pressure sensor
  - 6,155,119 Normann et al teaches an electric circuit board and an electric pressure transducer.
  - 6,609,427 Westfield et al teaches a pressure transmitter with a sealed housing

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jewel V. Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-2189. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4:30, telework on Wednesday.

6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/563,444 Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jvt

January 21, 2008